

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/721,987 11/25/2003 Hikmet Kuran 6982 9660-4 30448 03/07/2006 **EXAMINER** 7590 **AKERMAN SENTERFITT** ALEXANDER, REGINALD P.O. BOX 3188 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188 1761

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>&gt;</i>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/721,987	KURAN, HIKMET		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	nuary 2006.			
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 6</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3 and 6</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
·				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		)-152)	
	-,			

Application/Control Number: 10/721,987 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Quet et al. in view of Campbell.

There is disclosed in Quet a grilling device comprising, grooved grill bars 24

placed in parallel to each other a spaced distance apart to form a grill having openings

which allow heat rays emitted from a heat source 21 to contact foodstuff directly without

encountering any obstructions during grilling.

Campbell discloses a grill wherein the grill bars are separated by a distance

which is well within applicants claimed ranges.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the grill of Quet with

that taught by Campbell, in order to prevent flames from passing between the grill bars

during cooking.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior

art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Carstensen.

Carstensen discloses an inclined grill arrangement to allow grease to drain from

a grill surface. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the device

of Quet, as modified by Campbell, with that taught by Carstensen, in order all constant

drainage of grease from the grill surface.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is allowed.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant's arguments filed January 26, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art spacing and width are not within the claimed ranges.

It should be noted that a prima facie case of obviousness exists where the claimed ranges and the prior art ranges do not overlap but are close enough that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties. *Titanium Metals Corp. of America v. Banner*, 778 F.2d 775, 227 USPQ 773 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

It is clear from the prior art that the food items will be sufficiently cooked while flame flare-ups will be prevented with the disclosed spacing and width of the grill bars.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,987 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla March 2, 2006 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761